

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/027,372	HAJIMIRI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Un C Cho	2687	

All Participants:

(1) Un C Cho.

(2) Christopher J. Rourk (Reg. 39,348).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 February 2005

Time: 11:00AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 13 referred back to a cancelled claim. Examiner suggested the applicant to send a supplemental amendment correcting the error.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)